DCI/IC 78-0044

17 July 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

25X1A

FROM:

Deputy to the DCI for Resource Management

SUBJECT:

PRC(I) Meeting of 20 July 1978

- 1. On several occasions, you and I have discussed ways to involve the PRC(I) in the budget process. What follows is a distillation of the important points as well as some further suggestions in order to help you prepare for Item 3 on Thursday's agenda.
  - A direct linkage between National Intelligence Topics and Budget options is probably impossible. In the future I hope to be able to relate missions to options, but we are not ready to try it yet. The memo you circulated to the PRC(I) in support of agenda Item 2 comes as close to doing that as I feel we can at this stage.
  - Once the PRC(I) approves the NITs, presumably on Thursday, you intend to circulate them to Program Managers and will ask that community members respond to user's priorities in structuring their budget submissions. You also expect that an updated set of the NITs will be a consideration in the NFIP guidance you will issue next year.
  - At the PRC(I) meeting in March you committed yourself to developing a process for involving the PRC(I) in the NFIP reviews. You are beginning that process by discussing mission priorities at the meeting on Thursday. You expect to consider members' views as part of your program decision process, and you plan to repeat the cycle at budget review time.

2

## Approved For Release 2006/11/43: CIA-RDP81B00401R002000170014-0

SUBJECT: PRC(I) Meeting of 20 July 1978

- You can remind the members that you meet regularly with them individually and discuss, among other subjects, their views on priorities and budget options. Although not formal PRC(I) sessions, you consider these meetings to be one way for members to advise you of their opinions.
- In sum, you would like to have the PRC(I)'s support when you present the NFIP to OMB and the President. Therefore you may want to offer to send them copies of the tentative decision letters and invite their comments on specific issues before your final decisions are promulgated. This would have to be done with the understanding that only you are in a position to resolve differences and make the final decision on NFIP matters.

ı			

25X1A

#### Approved For Release 2006/11/13: CIA-RDP81B00401R002000170014-0

Executive Registry
78-819/22

18 July 1978

MEMORANDUM	FOR:	Director	of	Central	Intelligence
------------	------	----------	----	---------	--------------

25X1A

FROM:

Deputy to the DCI for Collection Tasking

SUBJECT:

Relating Intelligence Topics to Program/Budget Options

Responding to your 14 July request for my views concerning the third agenda item for the 20 July PRC(I) meeting, I would like to make the following points:

- a. There is not and probably can never be a fully direct relationship among national intelligence topics, their relative priorities, and proposed program and budget options. However, of course, decisionmakers all along the line are very conscious of the indirect relationships involved and have them in mind as they reach decisions that culminate in the budget process.
- b. In structuring our organization of the NITC, we have sought to approach the development of the relationship between intelligence requirements and the resulting collection systems. We plan to do this by presenting in our collection schemata the specific requirements, priorities, amount of effort, and expected results for each collection effort. When our system matures, we hope to be able to sum up these efforts and expectations and arrive more closely to a fielding of the relationships between collection requirements and collection effort.

2

#### Approved For Release 2006/11/13 : CIA-RDP81B00401R002000170014-0

#### Distribution:

Orig - DCI
1 - DDCI
1 - ER
1 - CT Registry
1 - D/DCI/CT
1 - AD/DCI/CT
1 - CTS

25X1A 25X1A

CTS/ 8 Jul 78

#### 2. Current Status,

- a. The subject has <u>not</u> been included as an agenda item for the 20 July meeting.
- b. Neither Schlesinger nor Kreps has been invited to attend that meeting.
- c. Neither Schlesinger nor Kreps has received copies of the material to be used in discussing Priorities (Schlesinger had been kept appraised of this to some extent in the past).
- d. There are at least three individuals (Warnke, Bell and McIntyre) who are to attend the PRC(I) meeting who were <u>not</u> polled by the DCI regarding this matter.

25X1A

Execut	re Sec	rretariat	-	

Attachment: Tab A

CC: DDCI
DD/NFA
DD/RM
DD/CT

O/ES/JHR:sk Distribution:

O - Addressee

1 - ES

1 - ER

1 - T/ES

### Sport year for Personal 2000/11/12 COA ROPE 1800/40/1800/2007/17/00/Act

15 May 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR: Assistant to the President for National

Security Affairs Chairman, Policy Review Committee (Intelligence)

SUBJECT : Participation of Secretaries Schlesinger and Reps

in PRC(I) Consideration of Intelligence Priorities TOTAL TOTAL

1. In reviewing the National Intelligence Topics last week, I noted how many of them relate to matters of concern to the Departments of Energy and Commerce.

- 2. In view of their responsibilities and their departments requirements for intelligence, I propose that Secretary Schlesinger and Secretary Kreps be invited to participate as ex-officio members in PRC(I) meetings on intelligence priorities.
  - Please let me know whether you concur in this proposal

25X1

SECRET

Approved For Release 2006/11/13 : CIA-RDP81B00401R002000170014-0

NEW ISSUE

# Approved For Release 2006/11/13 : GIA-RDP81B00401R002000170014-0

18 July 1978

NOTE FOR THE DIRECTOR

FROM:

D/DCI/RM

SUBJECT: Monitoring Soviet Destruction and Dismantling (D&D) Activities

Rosie is interested in seeing that the subject addressed in the attached memo, which Dr. Brzezinski chose not to sign, is dealt with at the PRC(I) meeting. Ideally, he would like it resolved; but he suggested a fall-back position of identifying the issue and agreeing to discuss it at a future meeting. Rosie's main concern is getting the subject out of SALT channels and into the PRC(I)'s balliwick. There was no time for us to discuss it when he handed it to me after the NFIB; however, we arranged to pursue it, and I will advise you of our conclusions prior to the meeting on Thursday.

TOP SEGRET

This document may bo

downgraded to......www.sified when enclosure is detacked.

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Exempt